



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/665,846	09/20/2000	Graham Russell	9112.00	6406
26889	7590	04/22/2008	EXAMINER	
MICHAEL CHAN			KARMIS, STEFANOS	
NCR CORPORATION			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1700 SOUTH PATTERSON BLVD				3693
DAYTON, OH 45479-0001			MAIL DATE	
			04/22/2008	
			DELIVERY MODE	
			PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09665846	9/20/2000	RUSSELL ET AL.	9112.00
		EXAMINER	
MICHAEL CHAN NCR CORPORATION 1700 SOUTH PATTERSON BLVD DAYTON, OH 45479-0001		STEFANOS KARMIS	
		ART UNIT	PAPER
		3693	20080416

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The following communication is in response to the retuned Examiner's Answer on 19 March 2008 for failing to list the references in the Evidence Relied Upon section. The attachment corrects the Evidence Relied Upon section by adding the Garner and Foley references to the section.

The return also states that the claims listed in the Examiner Answer are not consistent with the Final Rejection. In the Final rejection claims 1, 2, 7, 13, 14, 19 and 25-28 were pending. Claims 25 and 27 are the only two claims appealed. The Final Rejection rejected claim 27 under 35 U.S.C. 102 under Garner and claim 25 under 35 U.S.C. 103 under Garner in view of Foley and responded to arguments. The Examiner believes therefore that the claims listed in the Examiner's Answer are consistent with the Final Rejection. Claims 25 and 27 are addressed under the appropriate grounds of rejection in the Examiner's Answer.

No response is required by Applicant. The case will be forwarded for the Board.

/Stefanos Karmis/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3693